

MEETING SUMMARY NOTES

Comprehensive Plan Advisory Group Meeting #6

Date: Tuesday, December 11
2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.
Location: ASU Decision Theater
Purpose: Review Draft Alternatives

Handouts: Agenda, Draft Alternatives, Draft Assumptions

Participants:

CPAG Members

Gordon Brown, Harold Christ, Rosemary Shearer, Leslie Burnett, Art Celaya, Shirley Ann Hartman

Working Group Members

Brad Ross, Resolution Copper, Technical Advisory Group
Kristen Keener-Busby, Department of Commerce, Regional Planning Team
Jim Nelson, SRP, Technical Advisory Group
Shannon Scutari, Governor's Office, Economic Development Task Force
Paul Ringer, Central Arizona Regional Economic Development Foundation, Economic Development Task Force
Evelyn Casuga, APS, Economic Development Task Force
Deb Sydenham, Department of Commerce

Project Team Members

Jerry Stabley, Pinal County
Peggy Fiandaca, PSA
Curt Dunham, PSA
Audra Koester Thomas, PSA
Doug Hansen, Pinal County
Sandy Weir, Lima and Associates
Judie Scalise, ESI Corp.
John McNamara, DMJM Harris
Jackie Pfeiffer, DMJM Harris
Ken Buchanan, Pinal County

Richard Clay Cato, Guest



MEETING SUMMARY NOTES

Meeting was called to order at approximately 2:10 p.m.

Welcome and Alternative Presentation

Peggy Fiandaca provided a 40 minute presentation regarding the development of the alternatives and the draft alternatives themselves.

“Think Tank” Exercise

Peggy then led the participants through an exercise regarding how the alternatives address the Vision and what elements of each alternative the participants might want to change.

Discussion

Reaction to the Decision Theater Exercise

Participants provided feedback on what they liked and disliked about the Decision Theater exercise:

- It was fun
- I liked the immediate feedback
- It was hard to visualize the meaning of the different variables
- There were lots of pieces/parts to the process—I didn’t really know what changing “x” variable meant
- It would have been better to react to fewer pieces

Reaction to the Alternatives

- I would have preferred to see the alternatives prior to the meeting so that I could better collect my thoughts
- Each alternative has its tradeoffs
- Alternative B: you need to better explain the philosophy of concentrating jobs/housing; can’t write-off East County
- How can you address nuances, like passive economic development (tourism, etc.); these aren’t ‘activity centers’ but it does bring economic growth to a community

Next Steps

The CPAG will meet in early 2008 after the Alternatives have gone public.

These meeting summary notes reflect the notes taken by Partners for Strategic Action, Inc. during the meeting. If corrections are needed, please provide those recommendations as soon as possible, preferably within two weeks of receipt of these notes.

